



# **INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW**

## **Volume Two**

### **Copyright & Industrial Design**

Spring 1997

Professor Norman Siebrasse

These materials are solely for the classroom use of students at the Faculty of Law,  
University of Toronto.

178  
24  
117  
3  
1

AY 37

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY  
DEC 9 1996  
FACULTY OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

# **INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW**


## **Volume Two**

### **Copyright & Industrial Design**

Spring 1997

Professor Norman Siebrasse

These materials are solely for the classroom use of students at the Faculty of Law,  
University of Toronto.



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2018 with funding from  
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/intellectualprop02sieb>

# Intellectual Property Law

Spring 1997

Professor Norman Siebrasse

We must take care to guard against two extremes equally prejudicial; the one, that men of ability, who have employed their time for the service of the community, may not be deprived of their just merits, and the reward of their ingenuity and labour; the other, that the world may not be deprived of improvements, nor the progress of the arts be retarded.

Lord Mansfield, *Sayre v Moore* (1785) 1 East 316 n.5, 102 E.R. 139

## Volume II – Copyright and Industrial Design

### Copyright

#### I. Introduction

##### CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Harold G. Fox, <i>The Canadian Law of Copyright and Industrial Design</i> , 2nd ed. . . . .	1-1
David Vaver, <i>Some Agnostic Observations on Intellectual Property</i> . . . . .	4
Stephen Breyer, <i>The Uneasy Case for Copyright</i> . . . . .	1-11

#### II. Prerequisites to Copyright

##### *Originality*

##### CHAPTER 2: ORIGINALITY

University of London Press Ltd v University Tutorial Press Ltd . . . . .	2-1
Kilvington v Goldberg . . . . .	2-4
G. A. Cramp & Sons, Ltd. v. Frank Smythson, Ltd., . . . . .	2-6
Caron v. Assn. des pompiers de Montréal Inc. . . . .	2-8
Walter v Lane . . . . .	2-13
Hearn v Meyer . . . . .	2-18
John Wiley Jr., <i>Copyright at the School of Patent</i> . . . . .	2-25

##### *Subject Matter*

##### CHAPTER 3: THE IDEA/EXPRESSION DICHOTOMY

##### A Abstract Ideas

Moreau v St. Vincent . . . . .	3-1
Deeks v. Wells . . . . .	3-4
Nichols v Universal Pictures Corp . . . . .	3-8
Sid & Marty Krofft Television Productions, Inc. v. McDonald's Corporation . . . . .	3-13
John Wiley Jr., <i>Copyright at the School of Patent</i> . . . . .	3-15
Robert Libott, <i>The Idea-Expression Fallacy in a Mass Communications World</i> . . . . .	3-21

B Patentable Ideas	
Hollinrake v Truswell	3-24
Cuisenaire v South West Imports Ltd.	3-28
Bulman Group Ltd v One-Write Accounting Systems Ltd	3-30
Baker v Selden	3-35
Brief Amicus Curiae of Professor Menell and Karjala	3-40

#### CHAPTER 4: MERGER

Morrissey, v. The Procter & Gamble Company	4-1
Herbert Rosenthal Jewelry Corp., v. Kalpakian	4-3
Apple Computer Inc. v. Mackintosh Computers Ltd.	4-7
Promotions Atlantiques Inc. v. Hardcraft Industries Ltd.	4-10

#### CHAPTER 5: COMPILATIONS

Slumber-Magic Adjustable Bed Co. v Sleep-King Adjustable Bed Co.	5-1
Ladbroke (Football), Ltd. v. William Hill (Football), Ltd.	5-9
Macmillan v Cooper	5-3

#### CHAPTER 6: FACTS AND INFORMATION

Kelly v Morris	6-1
Scott v Stanford	6-3
BC Jockey Club v Standen	6-6
Football League v Littlewood Pools	6-11
Elanco v Mandops	6-17
Feist Publications Inc v Rural Telephone Service Co. Inc	6-19
CCC Information Services, Inc., v. Maclean Hunter Market Reports, Inc.	6-27
WIPO Draft Treaty on the Protection of Databases	6-35

#### CHAPTER 7: NEWS AND HISTORY

Walter v Steinkopff	7-1
International News Service v. The Associated Press	7-3
Nash v. CBS, Inc.,	7-9

#### CHAPTER 8: THE LIMITS OF LITERARY WORKS

Exxon Corp. v Exxon Insurance Consultants International Ltd	8-1
DRG Inc. v. Datafile Ltd.	8-9

#### *Fixation*

#### CHAPTER 9: FIXATION

Canadian Admiral Corp.Ltd. v. Rediffusion Inc.	9-1
Mai Systems Corporation v. Peak Computer, Inc.	9-3

### **III. Term**

Copyright Act s.6-12 .....	
----------------------------	--

### **IV. Ownership**

#### CHAPTER 10: OWNERSHIP

Donoghue v Allied Newspapers Ltd. ....	10-1
Kantel v Grant .....	10-5
Massie & Renwick Ltd v Underwriters' Survey Bureau Ltd. ....	10-8

### **V. Formalities and Registration**

#### CHAPTER 11: FORMALITIES AND REGISTRATION

Copyright Act ss.34(3)(4), 54(1)(2)(3), 53(1)(2), 39, 57(1)(2)(3) .....	
Berne Convention (Rome revision) Art.4 .....	11-1
17 USC ss.401, 412 .....	11-2
Circle Film Enterprises Inc. v Canadian Broadcasting Corp. ....	11-3
Zamacois v Douville .....	11-5
Bishop v Tele Metropole Inc .....	11-6
Grignon v Roussel .....	11-7

### **VI. Rights**

#### CHAPTER 12: REPRODUCTION AND MORAL RIGHTS

##### A Reproduction

Religious Technology Center v. Netcom Inc. (#2) .....	12-1
Final Report of the Information Highway Advisory Council Subcommittee on Copyright: Browsing .....	12-8
Religious Technology Center v. Netcom Inc. (#2) .....	12-9

##### B Moral Rights

Snow v The Eaton Centre Ltd. (1982) 70 CPR(2d) 105 (Ont HC) .....	12-10
-------------------------------------------------------------------	-------

#### CHAPTER 13: DERIVATIVE WORKS

King Features Syndicate Inc. v O. & M. Ltd.Kleeman .....	13-1
Apple Computer, Inc. v. Mackintosh Computers Ltd. ....	13-3
EU Software Directive .....	13-10
Midway Mfg. Co., v. Artic International, Inc. ....	13-11

### **VII. Infringement**

#### CHAPTER 14: INTRODUCTION

##### A Who is the infringer?

Compo Co. Ltd. v Blue Crest Music Inc. ....	14-1
---------------------------------------------	------

##### B Establishing Infringement

Francis, Day & Hunter, Ltd. v. Bron .....	14-6
-------------------------------------------	------



## CHAPTER 15: SUBSTANTIAL PART

### C Literal Copying

Hawkes and Son (London) Ltd. v Paramount Film Service Ltd. ....	15-1
U & R Tax Services Ltd. v. H & R Block Canada Inc. ....	15-6
Italian Book Corp v American Broadcasting Cos. ....	15-6
Canadian Performing Right Society Ltd. v. Canadian National Exhibition Association	15-8

### D Non-literal copying

Preston v. 20th Century Fox Canada Ltd. ....	15-11
Computer Associates Int'l Inc v Altai, Inc ....	15-17
Delrina Corp v Triolet Systems Inc ....	15-33
Sid & Marty Krofft Television Productions, Inc. v. McDonald's Corporation ....	15-38
Apple Computer, Inc. v. Microsoft Corporation ....	15-45

### E User Interface

Lotus Development Corporation, v. Borland International, Inc. ....	15-56
Brief Amicus Curiae of Economics Professors in Support of Borland ....	15-66
Euclid Industries Canada Ltd. v Reg Holloway Sales ....	15-73

## CHAPTER 16: IMPLIED LICENCE

Netupsky v Dominion Bridge Co. ....	16-1
Bishop v Stevens ....	16-5
Re: your email could be public domain ....	16-8

## CHAPTER 17: AUTHORIZATION OF INFRINGEMENT

De Tervagne v Beloeil ....	17-1
Religious Technology Center v. Netcom Inc. (#2) ....	17-15

## VIII. Defences

### CHAPTER 18: FAIR DEALING AND PUBLIC INTEREST 45

Hawkes and Son (London) Ltd. v Paramount Film Service Ltd. ....	18-1
Hubbard v Vosper ....	18-3
Beloff v Pressdram Ltd. ....	18-9
The Queen v. James Lorimer Ltd. ....	18-18
American Geophysical Union v. Texaco ....	18-22

### CHAPTER 19: REVERSE ENGINEERING / COPYRIGHT & PATENT

Sega Enterprises Ltd., v. Accolade, Inc. ....	19-1
EU Software Protection Directive ....	19-11
Interlego A.G. v Tyco Industries Plc. ....	19-12
British Leyland Motor Corporation Ltd v Armstrong Patents Co Ltd ....	19-20
Rucker Co. v. Gavel's Vulcanizing Ltd. ....	19-24



**IX. Remedies**

CHAPTER 20: REMEDIES

A	Civil	
	The Queen v. James Lorimer Ltd.	20-1
B	Summary Offence	
	R v Laurier Office Mart	20-8

**Industrial Design**

CHAPTER 21: INDUSTRIAL DESIGN

	Algonquin Mercantile Corporation v. Dart Industries Canada Ltd.	21-1
	Doral Boats Ltd. v. Bayliner Marine Corp.	21-8

